

*JFK 7/10* *4-7*  
411809

To Those Listed Below

July 7, 1954

*V.S.*  
Morse Salisbury, Director  
Division of Information Services

SUBCL: IS:MS

The attached is a press statement scheduled for release today by the U.S. delegation to the U.N. It states the U.S. position with respect to the petition of the Marshall Islanders on testing in the Pacific. It does not deal directly with the resolution submitted by the UNR delegation calling for U.N. ban on nuclear testing in Trusteehip territories, but constitutes an indirect rejection of this resolution.

At a meeting in State this morning with representatives of Defense and State, the AEC representatives - Dr. Dunham and Howard Brown of BBN, Colonel Grossberg of BBN and myself - were told that the U.N. delegation has also submitted a resolution which has received no present attention but which supports the U.S. position on Pacific testing. Both resolutions are before the Committee on Petitions and have not yet been reported to the Trusteeship Council.

Attachments

press statement

Addressees

- Lewis L. Strauss, Chairman
- Henry D. Smyth, Commissioner
- Thomas E. Murray, Commissioner
- Joseph Campbell, Commissioner
- K. B. Nichols, General Manager
- Dr. E. L. Dunham, BBN
- ✓ Howard Brown, BBN
- Col. N. B. Grossberg, BBN

NO DEPT. OF ENERGY CLASSIFIED  
INFORMATION (NO RD/FRD/D~~OS~~SI)  
COORDINATE WITH: DOS  
BEFORE DECLASSIFICATION/RELEASE

AUTHORITY: DOE-DPC  
BY E. B. BARNES, DATE:

*E. Barnes 4/14/86*  
*D. Hill 2-22-94*

CLASSIFIED BY 60321/AG/SP/EL  
ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED  
EXCEPT WHERE SHOWN OTHERWISE  
*HR Schmitt 6/13/01*  
*DOS MEMO 200100765, 5/30/01*

MILITARY RESEARCH & APPL. 75

*945A5CB000007*

The fact that anyone was injured by the recent nuclear tests in the Pacific has caused the American people genuine and deep regret.

The U.S. Government considers the resulting petition of the Marshall Islanders to be both reasonable and helpful.

Why -- it may be asked -- should the homes of these people so far removed from international politics and the cold war become the site for such experiments?

The answer is that the Marshall Islands were selected only after the most careful examination of every possible alternative site.

The U.S. Government found that there is no other place in the world, over which the U.S. has jurisdiction, where experiments of this nature could be successfully conducted with less danger.

The question may also be asked whether the U.S. has the right to conduct such experiments in this area. The Trusteeship Agreement of 1947 which covers the Marshall Islands was predicated upon the fact that the UN clearly approved these islands as a strategic area in which atomic tests had already been held. Hence, from the very outset, it was clear that the right to close areas for security reasons anticipated closing them for atomic tests and the UN was so notified. Indeed such tests were conducted in 1948, 1951, 1952 as well as in 1954.

As to the question of continuing these experiments, which is also raised in the petition, the facts are unambiguously clear.

No one could reasonably contend that the Soviets should be the only nation to conduct nuclear experiments. At issue, therefore, is not the right to conduct these experiments. The question is whether the U.S. authorities in charge have exercised due precaution in looking after the safety and welfare of the islanders involved.

That is the essence of their petition and it is entirely justified.

In conclusion, it can be authoritatively stated that no other site in the world

In reply, it can be categorically stated that no stone will be left unturned to safeguard the present and future well-being of the Islanders.

The U.S. Government is confident that future tests can be conducted without any untoward incidents.

And, finally, the U.S. Delegation is glad to report that all of the Marshall Islanders and the American military personnel who were exposed have now recovered.