

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Roy B. Snapp, Secretary  
FROM : John C. Bigher, M.D., Director  
Division of Biology and Medicine  
SUBJECT: JAPANESE EXPEDITION TO THE PACIFIC PROVING GROUNDS AREA  
SYMBOL: EM:JCB

US MAIL  
DATE: July 12, 1954  
326 US AIR MAIL  
RC  
COM  
SEC  
49  
Folder MH 45  
Cation Vol

410462

With reference to your query regarding the details of newspaper stories about the Japanese expedition to the Pacific Proving Ground, the best summary seems to be that from Ambassador Allison in his dispatch No. 49 of July 7 which is as follows:

"Research vessel SHUNKOTSU MARU returned July 4 after 9,000 mile survey of Pacific test area. Detailed report and sampling submitted to fisheries agency upon arrival. Data being studied new group, Bikini waters investigation liaison council with findings to be treated as national property and given to the world."

*note*

"Newspapers of following day quote fishing agency as announced that: (a) Interim reports show fish from test area edible unless caught in northern equatorial current; (b) Radioactive contamination of sea water and marine life strongest between 8 and 12 degrees north latitude west of Bikini; (c) Most radioactivity on tuna in internal organs and around gills very little in flesh; (d) much more radioactive than fish; (e) Exposure to sea water in to be avoided; (f) Only minor radioactivity found in air; (g) fishing area south of Bikini where no radioactivity found; (h) radiation not jeopardized barring further tests in area."

"Fisheries spokesman envisaged another expedition next year also stated Pacific current would not bring contaminated water to Japanese Coast."

"Newsreel taken aboard now showing Tokyo and substantial output from nine newspapermen participating expedition. Press accounts largely factual and moderate in tone, some of them constructively pointed out (a) Radioactive fish eaten during trip; (b) Certain scientists aboard have scoffed at over nervous dumping of radioactive fish here; (c) Highest radioactivity encountered was point 65 milliroentgen per day or one percent of danger level."

While it will be sometime before we have our own data in hand, newspaper accounts which I have read seem temperate in tone and not seem out of line with what we already know.

cc: John Hall, Sp. Projects

BEST COPY AVAILABLE